| To: | Matthew C. Benoit - Town of Douglas Community Development Director <br> Jennifer Hager - Town of Sutton Planning \& Economic Development Director |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cc: | Wayne Belec - Land Design Collaborative <br> Timothy Flynn - Flynn Build \& Develop |
| From: | Courtney E. Sudak, PE - Tetra Tech |
| Date: | June 2, 2022 |
| Subject: | Peak Season Traffic Volume Assessment <br> Proposed Sutton Douglas Development <br> Sutton \& Douglas, MA |

Tetra Tech has conducted an evaluation of peak season traffic volume conditions at the six study area intersections previously evaluated in the September 2021 Traffic Impact and Access Study (TIAS) previously prepared by Tetra Tech for the proposed Sutton Douglas Development (the "Project"). The TIAS included an analysis of existing and future (with and without the Project) intersection operations at the study intersections based on turning movement counts collected in July 2021. As reported in the TIAS, seasonal traffic volume adjustment factors published by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) indicate that July is an above average travel month. However, the region was still experiencing some impacts to typical traffic volume conditions even though travel and occupancy restrictions associated with the COVID-19 pandemic were no longer in place in Massachusetts at the time the counts were conducted. Furthermore, during the local planning board hearings for the project, residents indicated that the study area roadways have historically experienced a measurable amount of traffic associated with several area campgrounds that may not have been in operation or not fully occupied in July 2021 due to the pandemic. This evaluation provides estimates for traffic associated with these seasonal recreational uses and includes an assessment of intersection operations during this peak season condition.

This memorandum documents our findings.

## AREA RECREATIONAL USE TRIP GENERATION

Based on consultation with Town of Sutton Planning staff, the following recreational uses in the area may impact traffic at the study area intersections:

- Kings Family Campground located at 24 Holt Road in Sutton (114 camp sites)
- Sutton Falls Campground located at 90 Manchaug Road in Sutton (104 camp sites)
- Blueberry Island located at Whitin Reservoir in Douglas (1 camp site)
- Lake Manchaug Camping located at 70 Oak Street in Douglas (217 camp sites)
- Breezy Picnic Grounds \& Water Slides located at 520 NW Main Street in Douglas (2.49 acres)
- Old Holbrook Place Campground located at 114 Manchaug Road in Sutton

It is our understanding that Old Holbrook Place Campground has been sold and will not be reopening as a campground. Therefore, traffic associated with Old Holbrook Place is assumed to be negligible for this analysis. Traffic associated with the other five recreational uses was estimated based on industry standard trip rates published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition (2021) using the most closely related land uses. The trip generation calculations are provided in Attachment A.

The majority of traffic associated with these sites is anticipated to use the regional highway system. There are several travel paths between the five properties and the regional roadway network. However, all traffic associated with these uses was assumed to use Duval Road to present a conservative analysis.

## PEAK SEASON TRAFFIC VOLUMES

The baseline traffic volumes were based on turning movement count data collected in July 2021 during the weekday morning (7AM to 9AM) and weekday evening (4PM to 6PM) peak periods as part of the TIAS. Seasonal adjustment factors published by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) indicate that July is an above-average travel month (approximately 8 percent higher than average season conditions). As a conservative measure, the observed traffic volumes were not adjusted (reduced) to represent average season conditions.

MassDOT data for the week the traffic volumes were collected in July 2021 indicated that traffic at count locations nearest the site (Station ID AET06 Charlton and Station ID ASET07 Hopkinton) were approximately 1 percent to 10 percent lower than the same week in July 2019. The Town's traffic peer review consultant, MDM Transportation Consultants, Inc. (MDM) stated in their April 5, 2022 comment letter that traffic volume data for the area in 2020 (when COVID-19 travel and occupancy permits were in place) were 20 percent lower when compared to 2017 (pre-pandemic). As a conservative measure, the observed traffic volumes were adjusted (increased) by 20 percent to account for general COVID-19 related traffic volume fluctuations at the study intersections.

A comparison of the peak season 2021 Existing Condition peak hour traffic volumes and the Existing Conditions volumes reported in the September 2021 TIAS for the project is provided in Table 1. A Traffic Projection Model detailing the traffic volume assumptions from the observed (raw) traffic volume count data through the development of the peak season 2028 Build (with project) traffic volumes is provided in Attachment B.

Table 12021 Existing Condition Peak Hour Traffic Volume Comparison

| Intersection | Overall Intersection Volume (vehicles per hour) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2021 \\ & \text { TIAS }^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Peak Season ${ }^{2}$ | Net Change | $\begin{aligned} & 2021 \\ & \text { TIAS } \end{aligned}$ | Peak Season | Net Change |
| Torrey Road/ Duval Road | 31 | 177 | +146 | 66 | 254 | +188 |
| Mumford Road/ Duval Road | 39 | 186 | +147 | 57 | 244 | +187 |
| Birch Street/ <br> Fairfax Way | 24 | 88 | +64 | 52 | 119 | +67 |
| Birch Street/ Belvoir Avenue | 21 | 85 | +64 | 46 | 112 | +66 |

1) 2021 Existing Condition peak hour traffic volumes presented in Transportation Impact and Access Study, Proposed Residential Development, 61 Duval Road, Sutton, Massachusetts, prepared by Tetra Tech, dated September 2021.
2) July 2021 peak hour traffic volumes adjusted for general traffic volume fluctuations due to the COVID-19 pandemic ( 20 percent increase) and traffic associated with area recreational properties.

## PEAK SEASON CAPACITY ANALYSES

Tetra Tech conducted capacity analyses at the six study intersections for the future 2028 Build (with project) peak season peak hour traffic volumes described above. The peak season capacity analyses indicate that the study intersections are expected to operate well below capacity with minimal delay at LOS B or better operations during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours under future conditions with the project in place. Therefore, ample capacity is available at the study area roadways and intersections to support the proposed residential project. Capacity analysis worksheets are provided in Attachment C.

## CONCLUSIONS

Supplemental capacity analyses were prepared at the six study intersections previously evaluated in the September 2021 TIAS prepared for the project to assess intersection operations during peak season conditions. The peak season peak hour traffic volumes were estimated and include a 20 percent increase to the observed data to account for general COVID-19 traffic volume fluctuations, traffic associated with several area recreational uses that may not have been in operation or were not fully occupied at the time the TIAS traffic counts were collected in July 2021 and the future year growth assumptions used in the TIAS. The supplemental capacity analyses conducted for the peak season traffic volume conditions indicate that the study intersections are expected to operate well below capacity with minimal delay at LOS B or better operations during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours under future conditions with the project in place. Therefore, ample capacity is available at the study area roadways and intersections to support the proposed residential project.

## Attachment A <br> Trip Generation Calculations

## Trip Generation Calculations

## ITE Trip Generation, 11th Edition Average Trip Rates (September 2021) Area Campgrounds/Water Park

## BREEZY PICNIC GROUNDS \& WATER SLIDES

| Land Use Code 411 - Public Park |  | Use Equation or Rate? |  |  |  | Size: | 2.49 | Acres |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ Value |  | Equation | Rate | Percent <br> Enter | In | Out |  | Total |
| Saturday Peak Hour |  | Rate |  | 22.92 | 58\% | 33 | 24 |  | 57 |

Source: Trip Generation, Eleventh Edition, (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2021).
NOTE: LUC 482 (Water Slide Park) does not have any weekday data. Therefore, the Saturday peak hour data was used for both the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours. The similar land use for LUC 411 (Public Park) results in negligible trips during the weekday peak hour. Therefore, it is anticipated that use of the Water Slide Park Saturday peak hour data is conservative.

## KINGS CAMPGROUND

| Land Use Code 416 - Campground/RV Park |  |  |  |  |  | Size: | 114 | Occupied Campsites |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ Value | Use Equation or Rate? | Equation | Rate | Percent Enter | In | Out | Total |
| AM Street Peak Hour | 0.96 | Equation | $\mathrm{T}=0.16(\mathrm{X})+2.93$ | 0.21 | 36\% | 8 | 13 | 21 |
| PM Street Peak Hour | 0.72 | Rate | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=.71 \ln (\mathrm{x})-0.06$ | 0.27 | 65\% | 20 | 11 | 31 |

Source: Trip Generation , Eleventh Edition , (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2021).

## SUTTON FALLS CAMPGROUND

| Land Use Code 416-Campground/RV Park |  |  |  | Size: | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ | Occupied Campsites |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Use <br> Equation <br> or Rate? |  | Equation | Rate | Percent <br> Enter | In | Out |

Source: Trip Generation, Eleventh Edition , (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2021).

## BLUEBERRY ISLAND CAMPGROUND

| Land Use Code 416 - Campground/RV Park |  |  |  |  |  | Size: | 1 | Occupied Campsites |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ Value | Use Equation or Rate? | Equation | Rate | Percent Enter | In | Out | Total |
| AM Street Peak Hour | 0.96 | Equation | $T=0.16(X)+2.93$ | 0.21 | 36\% | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| PM Street Peak Hour | 0.72 | Rate | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=.71 \ln (\mathrm{x})-0.06$ | 0.27 | 65\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Source: Trip Generation, Eleventh Edition , (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2021).
LAKE MANCHAUG CAMPGROUND

| Land Use Code 416 - Campground/RV Park |  |  |  |  |  | Size: | 217 | Occupied Campsites |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ Value | Use Equation or Rate? | Equation | Rate | Percent Enter | In | Out | Total |
| AM Street Peak Hour | 0.96 | Equation | $\mathrm{T}=0.16(\mathrm{X})+2.93$ | 0.21 | 36\% | 14 | 24 | 38 |
| PM Street Peak Hour | 0.72 | Rate | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=.71 \ln (\mathrm{x})-0.06$ | 0.27 | 65\% | 38 | 21 | 59 |

Source: Trip Generation, Eleventh Edition , (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2021).

## Attachment B

## Traffic Projection Model

| Torey Poad 8 Ouval |  |  |  | Seasonal ly Adjusted AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exisinga } \\ \text { An foear } \\ \text { Balancing } \\ \hline \text { alaning } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Kings } \\ \text { family }}}{ }$ Camiy na $A$ Trips |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Breezy } \\ \text { Picnic } \\ \text { Grounds \& } \\ \text { Water } \\ \text { Slides AM } \\ \text { Peak Hour } \\ \text { Trips } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2021 Existing AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes (Adjusted) |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Efitering }}^{\text {Distrution }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Existing }}^{\text {Distiution }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Existing } \\ \text { PM Peak } \\ \text { Hour } \\ \text { Balancing } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Breezy } \\ \text { Picnic } \\ \text { Grounds \& } \\ \text { Water } \\ \text { Slides PM } \\ \text { Peak Hour } \\ \text { Trips } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { PM Peak } \\ \text { Hour } \\ \text { Traffic } \\ \text { Volumes } \\ \text { (Adjusted } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Eistring | Distititution | Site Trips PM Peak Hour (Adjusted) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NBT | $\frac{10}{4}$ | ${ }^{12}$ | ${ }^{12}$ |  |  |  | 2 |  | 24 | ${ }_{31}^{12}$ | $\stackrel{14}{36}$ |  | ${ }^{14}{ }^{14}$ |  | 10\% | $\stackrel{2}{0}$ | ${ }^{16}$ 16 | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{8} 8$ | ${ }^{9} 9$ |  | ${ }_{39}$ |  | 10\% | 1 | ${ }^{10}$ |
|  | Ner | ${ }_{7}$ | 8 | 8 |  | 13 | ${ }^{13}$ | 2 | ${ }^{24}$ |  | 58 | 36 <br> 67 |  | 36 <br> 67 | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{\text {20\% }}$ |  | 1 |  | 8 <br> 10 <br> 10 <br> 1 | 10 <br> 12 <br> 1 | 10 <br> 10 <br> 12 <br> 1 |  | 11 | 10 | 0 | ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | 34 <br> 54 <br> 54 | ${ }^{39}$ |  |  |  |  | 2 4 4 | ${ }_{6}^{41}$ |
|  | sвi | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{\frac{4}{38}}$ | ${ }^{5}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  | 10\% | 2 | ${ }^{6}$ | 22 | ${ }_{19}^{26}$ | ${ }^{26}$ |  |  |  | 0 |  | ${ }^{33}$ |  | - $\begin{gathered}30 \\ 60 \\ 60\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ |  |
| Anvual rowth Rate | NBR | 4 | 5 | 5 |  | 8 | 7 |  | 14 |  | ${ }_{34}$ | ${ }^{39}$ |  | ${ }_{39}$ |  | ${ }^{20 \%}$ | 4 | ${ }_{43}^{43}$ | 3 | 4 | 4 |  | ${ }^{20}$ | 18 |  | ${ }^{38}$ |  | ${ }^{80} 8$ | ${ }_{92}^{62}$ |  | ${ }^{62}$ |  | ${ }^{10 \%}$ | 3 | ${ }_{95}^{61}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.00 AM-8000 AM | ${ }_{\text {NEL }}$ | 1 | 1 | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |  | 8 | 7 |  | 14 |  | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{34}$ |  | ${ }^{34}$ |  |  | 0 | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | 4 |  | ${ }^{20}$ | 18 |  | ${ }^{38}$ |  | ${ }^{80}$ | ${ }^{92}$ |  | ${ }^{92}$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 4.00 PM- -5.00 PM ${ }^{\text {Design }}$ Horizon (Vars) | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | 18 3 | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }_{4}^{22}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{22}$ | 25 <br> 5 |  | ${ }^{25}$ |  |  | 0 | ${ }^{25}$ | 12 <br> 10 | 12 | 14 <br> 12 <br> 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{14} 12$ | ${ }^{14}$ |  | 14 |  |  | 0 | 16 <br> 14 <br> 14 |
|  | $\substack{\text { SBR } \\ \text { ERL }}_{\text {St }}$ | $\stackrel{4}{11}$ | 5 <br> 13 <br> 1 | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ <br> 13 |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{39}$ 39 | ${ }_{4}^{45}$ |  | ${ }_{4}^{45}$ | ${ }^{50 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {50\% }}$ | $\stackrel{4}{9}$ | ${ }_{54}^{49}$ | 18 <br> 11 <br> 11 | $\frac{22}{13}$ <br> 13 | ${ }_{\substack{22 \\ 13 \\ 13}}$ |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | ${ }^{33}{ }^{34}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {c3 }} 4$ |  |  | 50\% | 50\% | ${ }^{11}$ | 74 <br> 49 <br> 49 |
| Growth Factor | ERR | 2 |  | 2 |  | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{13}$ |  | ${ }^{24}$ |  | ${ }_{5}$ | 60 |  | ${ }^{60}$ |  |  | 0 | ${ }^{60}$ | 3 | 4 | 4 |  | 11 | 10 |  | ${ }^{21}$ |  | ${ }_{4}^{46}$ | ${ }_{53}^{45}$ |  | ${ }_{53}{ }^{45}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ | $\stackrel{49}{53}$ |
| Birch Street fearitax Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NBT | ${ }^{12}$ | 14 | ${ }^{14}$ |  |  |  | 2 |  | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{40}$ | ${ }_{4}^{46}$ |  | ${ }^{46}$ | 10\% | 10\% | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | ${ }^{48}$ | ${ }^{11}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{13}$ |  |  |  | 0 |  | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{37}$ | ${ }^{43}$ |  | ${ }^{43}$ | 10\% | 10\% | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{4}^{46}$ |
|  | ${ }_{\text {s } 8 \text { ci }}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | 0 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 0 | 8 |
| covio Adusustent Factor | ${ }_{\text {Sxi }}^{\text {sit }}$ | 5 | $\stackrel{6}{6}$ | $\stackrel{6}{1}$ |  |  |  | 1 |  | ${ }^{33}$ | $\stackrel{40}{4}$ | ${ }_{4}^{46}$ |  | ${ }_{4}^{46}$ | 10\% | ${ }^{10 \%}$ | 0 | $\stackrel{49}{4}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | ${ }^{36}$ |  |  |  | 0 |  | ${ }^{33}$ | 69 <br> 8 | ${ }^{79}$ |  | ${ }^{79}$ | 10\% | 10\% | ${ }^{3}$ |  |
|  | NBR | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | 0 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{0}{5}$ | ${ }_{6}$ |  | 0 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{6}{6}$ |
| Birch Streat 8 Bevirif venue | ${ }_{\text {nвт }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | ${ }^{24}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{24}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 PM-5.500 PM | ${ }_{\text {NBR }}$ | 10 | 12 | $\stackrel{12}{1}$ |  |  |  | 2 |  | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{\frac{38}{18}}$ | $\frac{44}{1}$ |  | ${ }^{44}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | ${ }^{\frac{44}{2}}$ |  | ${ }^{12}$ | ${ }^{12}$ |  |  |  | 0 |  | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{\frac{36}{2}}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { 10\% }}{10 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| , | ${ }_{\text {sit }}^{\text {sit }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | $\stackrel{0}{4}$ | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 10\% |  | 1 | 1 | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 7 |  | ${ }_{7}{ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{10 \%}$ |  | ${ }_{2}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {sel }}^{\text {Sti }}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | ${ }^{33}$ | $\stackrel{4}{1}$ | ${ }^{47}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{1}$ |  | - | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{3}^{49}$ | $\stackrel{25}{1}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 30 <br> 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }^{63}$ | ${ }^{72}$ |  | $\stackrel{12}{1}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{10 \%}$ | 1 | $\stackrel{73}{2}$ |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 10\% | 2 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 10\% | 1 |  |
| Proposed Subudisision Roasway 8 Duval Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {NSER }}^{\text {NSE }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }_{9}^{6}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 |  |  | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }_{6}^{4}$ |
|  |  | ${ }^{12}$ | 14 | ${ }^{14}$ |  | 13 | ${ }^{13}$ | 2 | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{90}$ | ${ }^{103}$ |  | ${ }^{103}$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{103}$ | 15 | ${ }^{18}$ | ${ }^{18}$ |  | 11 | 10 | 0 | ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{84}$ | ${ }^{96}$ |  | ${ }^{96}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | NEL | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{0}{11}$ | $\stackrel{0}{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{0}{74}$ | $\stackrel{85}{8}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{85}$ | ${ }_{\text {50\% }}$ |  | 0 | $\stackrel{4}{85}$ | ${ }_{20}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | $\stackrel{0}{24}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{0}{153}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | 50\% |  | $\stackrel{\square}{11}$ | 11 <br> 1 <br> 15 <br> 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{8}$ | 7 | 1 | 14 | ${ }^{33}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{24}$ |  | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{18}$ | 0 | ${ }^{38}$ | ${ }^{33}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Firest Streat Proposed Suddivision Roadwal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.00 PM - 500 PM | ${ }_{\text {NBR }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 208 |  | , | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | $\stackrel{4}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 5 |  | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  |  | 0 | $\stackrel{5}{0}$ |
|  | ${ }^{\text {si }}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | 1 | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | 1 |  | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | 20\% |  | $\stackrel{1}{0}$ | $\stackrel{2}{1}$ | $\stackrel{0}{1}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{1}$ | ${ }^{20 \%}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{0}$ | $\stackrel{4}{1}$ |
|  | WEL | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 |  | 20\% | $\stackrel{0}{4}$ | $\stackrel{0}{4}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  | 20\% | $\stackrel{0}{2}$ | 2 |

## Attachment C

Capacity Analysis Worksheets

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | MF |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 46 | 43 | 16 | 36 | 68 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 46 | 43 | 16 | 36 | 68 | 6 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 50 | 50 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 14 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 79 | 74 | 28 | 62 | 136 | 12 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 343 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 59 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 284 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.24 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.326 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 657 | 1012 | - | - | 1433 | - |
| Stage 1 | 969 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 769 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 594 | 1012 | - | - | 1433 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 594 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 969 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 695 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.1 |  | 0 |  | 7.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 742 | 1433 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.207 | 0.095 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 11.1 | 7.8 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.8 | 0.3 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | MF |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | b |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 54 | 60 | 34 | 25 | 5 | 49 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 54 | 60 | 34 | 25 | 5 | 49 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 81 | 81 | 59 | 59 | 58 | 58 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 |
| Mvmt Flow | 67 | 74 | 58 | 42 | 9 | 84 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 209 | 51 | 93 | 0 | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 51 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 158 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 784 | 1023 | 1514 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 977 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | 875 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 753 | 1023 | 1514 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 753 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | 939 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | 875 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.9 | 4.3 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1514 | - | 874 | - |
| - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.038 | - | 0.161 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.5 | 0 | 9.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | 0.6 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 5 | 48 | 1 | 2 | 49 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 5 | 48 | 1 | 2 | 49 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 65 | 65 | 44 | 44 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 5 | 74 | 2 | 5 | 111 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 7 | 44 | 2 | 1 | 49 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 7 | 44 | 2 | 1 | 49 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 69 | 69 | 50 | 50 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 7 | 64 | 3 | 2 | 98 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 168 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 66 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 102 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 827 | 1003 | - | - | 1547 | - |
| Stage 1 | 962 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 927 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 826 | 1003 | - | - | 1547 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 826 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 962 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 926 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.9 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRV | VBLn1 | SBL |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 942 | 1547 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.011 | 0.001 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 8.9 | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -1 | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 103 | 1 | 4 | 85 | 6 | 9 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 103 | 1 | 4 | 85 | 6 | 9 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 60 | 60 | 38 | 38 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 172 | 2 | 11 | 224 | 7 | 10 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 419 | 173 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 173 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 246 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | -2.218 | -3.518 | 3.318 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1403 | - | 591 | 871 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 857 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 795 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1403 | - | 586 | 871 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 586 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 857 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 788 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.3 | 10.1 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 729 | - | -1403 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.022 | - | -0.008 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.1 | - | - | 7.6 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 4 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 61 | 95 | 10 | 41 | 66 | 32 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 61 | 95 | 10 | 41 | 66 | 32 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 68 | 68 | 75 | 75 | 89 | 89 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 90 | 140 | 13 | 55 | 74 | 36 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | b |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 49 | 53 | 92 | 16 | 14 | 74 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 49 | 53 | 92 | 16 | 14 | 74 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 70 | 70 | 47 | 47 | 70 | 70 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 |
| Mvmt Flow | 70 | 76 | 196 | 34 | 20 | 106 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 499 | 73 | 126 | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 73 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 426 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 535 | 995 | 1473 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 955 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 663 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 462 | 995 | 1473 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 462 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 825 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 663 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.3 | 6.7 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1473 | - | 640 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 6 | 46 | 1 | 8 | 82 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 6 | 46 | 1 | 8 | 82 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 50 | 50 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 12 | 61 | 1 | 11 | 109 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 193 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 62 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 131 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 800 | 1009 | - | - | 1554 | - |
| Stage 1 | 966 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 794 | 1009 | - | - | 1554 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 794 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 966 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 893 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.6 |  | 0 |  | 0.7 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 1009 | 1554 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.012 | 0.007 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 8.6 | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 6 | 43 | 4 | 9 | 73 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 6 | 43 | 4 | 9 | 73 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 33 | 33 | 65 | 65 | 63 | 63 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 18 | 66 | 6 | 14 | 116 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 213 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 69 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 144 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 780 | 1000 | - | - | 1541 | - |
| Stage 1 | 959 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 888 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 772 | 1000 | - | - | 1541 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 772 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 959 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 879 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9 |  | 0 |  | 0.8 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRV | VBLn1 | SBL |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 931 | 1541 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.026 | 0.009 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 9 | 7.4 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -1 | r |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 96 | 6 | 11 | 153 | 4 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 96 | 6 | 11 | 153 | 4 | 6 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 75 | 75 | 56 | 56 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 128 | 8 | 20 | 273 | 4 | 7 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 445 | 132 |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 132 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 313 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |  |  |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1448 | - | 571 | 917 |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 894 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 741 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1448 | - | 562 | 917 |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 562 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 894 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 729 | - |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.5 | 10 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 732 | - | -1448 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.015 | - | -0.014 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10 | - | - | 7.5 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 38 | 38 | 25 | 25 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 4 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 49 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 13 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 36 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 960 | 1067 | - | - | 1606 | - |
| Stage 1 | 1010 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 986 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 950 | 1067 | - | - | 1606 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 950 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 1010 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 976 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.4 |  | 0 |  | 5.8 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NB | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 1067 | 1606 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.002 | 0.01 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 8.4 | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |

