

From: [Christine Watkins](#)
To: [Jennifer Hager](#)
Subject: UGPG RE Sutton comments
Date: Sunday, July 24, 2022 11:45:00 AM

Jen,

I have a few comments about the general conditions for Unified.

1. I recall a question at one of the meetings regarding the hours of construction operation. I believe there was a statement made that Saturday construction would cease at noon. Condition 1 states construction could continue to 5 pm. A review of the minutes did not indicate this comment and I was not able to review the video of every meeting. I believe the question may have been posed by Marie Decosta. Please review. Construction through an entire day of a weekend seems intrusive to residents.

2. At the June 27 meeting I believe I questioned why 2014 was used as an historical point for adjusting the October 2021 traffic volumes related to Covid when the Market 32 Plaza project did not begin operations until October 28, 2015? I do not believe this was satisfactorily answered by the applicant nor addressed by the peer reviewer. I did leave a message with Ron Mueller Associates to get their thoughts on this but did not hear back. The traffic at the Boston Rd intersection is significantly increased by the volume of traffic from this plaza. How would an understatement of traffic volume used as a basis for the traffic study impact condition 12 and the peak volume calculations during the monitoring period and how is the projected volumes in the traffic study accurate if the comparison year of 2014 is significantly understated post Market 32 construction traffic volumes?

3. Given the proximity to our zone 1 and 2 water protection areas, why is there no condition for responsibility or accountability if disallowed chemicals, specifically those used for deicing were to enter the public water supply? I understand the applicant is going above requirements for mitigating impact by increasing stormwater catch basins and they did add filtration after peer review comments, however any contamination by these chemicals would only be the result of this project. Should the water system have to be decontaminated, shouldn't the applicant have to bear some of the costs?

4. As the Cold Spring Brook is important to its resident trout population and the stormwater catch basin mitigation is designed to return properly cooled water to this resource, what type, if any, monitoring of this brook will be done to ensure its temperature does not increase jeopardizing this important fish species. As the waiver to remove shade islands from the impervious truck parking area which is close to the Zone 1 DEP protection area was granted, won't the runoff from this parking area be warmer than if the shade islands had been installed, and would we be remiss if we did not monitor the brook to protect it for future generations?

5. Monitoring wells. At the last meeting I believe it was stated that the public water supply quality monitoring wells had not yet been installed although construction on Unified Parkway had already commenced. Should not only water quality wells but also depth from aquifer or water table wells be installed and monitored to protect not only the Wilkinsonville Water Supply but also the private wells of abutters and what type of reporting to the Board on these wells would be required of the applicant? Should these be required before any additional work is done?

Thank you

Christine Watkins
65 McClellan Road

Sent from my iPhone